IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

HOUSTON.	BIRISIKI	CT COURT
SOUTHER!	A DIZIKIĆ	TOL TEVVO
700 0 T YY		`

	FILED _ ()
Mark NEWBY,	805/09/00
Plaintiff,	MICHAEL N. MULBY, CLERK BY DEPUTY
	PI DE 81 W.91/2/2
V.	§ Consolidated Lead No. H-01-3624
	§
ENRON CORP., et al.,	§
Defendants.	§
	_
AMERICAN NATIONAL	§
INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,	§
	§
Plaintiffs,	§
	§
v.	§ Civil Action No. G-02-0084
	§
ARTHUR ANDERSEN, L.L.P., et al.,	§
	§

ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP'S PARTIALLY AMENDED RESPONSE TO LEAD PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. 'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY INJUNCTION

§

Defendants.

In Andersen's Opposition to American National Insurance Company's Motion for a Temporary Injunction, Andersen stated:

In the event that an injunction is granted (notwithstanding the myriad reasons why such an order should not issue), the Court should require a bond in an amount commensurate with that risk. Objective quantification of that risk is difficult, but Andersen's provable damages could, in the environment Andersen faces, be hundreds of millions of dollars. Andersen notes that in an unlikely but not impossible scenario, Andersen's claims against these plaintiffs for damages from an unjustified preliminary injunction could be asserted by persons standing in

711

Andersen's shoes. In light of the seriousness of this context, the Court must require that these plaintiffs post a bond of not less than two hundred fifty million dollars (\$250,000,000.00), itself but a small portion of the actual monetary harm that Andersen will incur if a preliminary injunction is entered.

<u>Id.</u> at 12.

In the unlikely event an injunction is granted, Andersen amends the amount of the bond it requests the Court require before any such injunction issues. Based on the current status of negotiations involving Andersen's assets, as of May 9, 2002, and the likely enormous diminution in value that Andersen's assets would quickly and irreparably suffer if such an injunction issues, Andersen respectfully requests that the Court require any party seeking such an injunction to post a bond of not less than four hundred fifty million dollars (\$450,000,000.000). In all other respects, Andersen's previously filed responses remain the same

Dated: Houston, Texas May 9, 2002

and are incorporated by reference.

Respectfully Submitted,

Rusty Hardin

State Bar No. 08972800

S.D. Tex. I.D. No. 19424

Attorney-in-Charge for

Defendant Arthur Andersen LLP

OF COUNSEL

Andrew Ramzel
State Bar No. 00784184
S.D. Tex. I.D. No. 18269
RUSTY HARDIN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
1201 Louisiana, Suite 3300
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 652-9000
(713) 652-9800 (fax)

Daniel F. Kolb Sharon Katz DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL 450 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017 (212) 450-4000 (212) 450-3633 (fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this <u>day</u> of May, 2002, the forgoing pleading was served on counsel for American National Insurance Company and the Lead Plaintiffs by hand delivery and other parties were served pursuant to the Court's April 5, 2002 Order

Andrew Ramzel